

# Patriot Perspective

*In Search of Common Sense*  
Edited by Gerry Donaldson and Texas Spitfire©

## SPECIAL EDITION!



*"Bind down the government with the chains of the Constitution."*  
Thomas Jefferson

### Inside this issue:

- "Nero"/McBryde Fiddled While the Constitution Burned (Dick Simkanin Trial)** 1
- After All We're Only Human...Or Are We?** 8
- Biographies of Article Contributors** 12
- Food for Thought** 12
- About Patriot Perspective** 13

*"The tree of liberty must be refreshed from time to time with the blood of patriots and tyrants. It is its natural manure."*  
-- Thomas Jefferson, Letter to W. S. Smith [November 13, 1787]

### *"Nero"/ McBryde Fiddled While the Constitution Burned*

Earlier this week I sat in a federal courtroom in Fort Worth Texas and witnessed one of the most blatant and obvious proofs that the Constitution of the United States of America is in its death-throes. Ladies and gentleman, the Constitution for which our ancestors died to provide was "kicked, spat upon and then set on fire" with its last remaining essence floating towards the heavens. The indictment which brought an innocent man [*yes, I said innocent*] into a federal court was read into the record yet the supposed "law" which was the basis for the indictment was not allowed to be read. This act can only be labeled "secret evidence" as described by the critics of the USA P.A.T.R.I.O.T. Act. [1]

As many of you know, Dick Simkanin [2], owner of a five million dollar a year, plastic mold injection business in Bedford Texas was put into a situation, which caused him to begin an earnest search for the truth of the tax code. This is not news. Most of us know there is something very wrong with our tax system and our court system. Congressman Ron Paul [3] has spoken out about this, as has Congressman George Hansen [4].

Mr. Simkanin discovered what ANYONE researching the tax code would discover, that not all businesses are required to withhold and pay taxes [5]. Now I am not a tax expert. I may not state the code correctly or use the correct word such as "income" or "wage", or "employee" or "employer". This is for YOU to research and discover the truth for yourself. But there are many people available to help you understand and several of these people were present to testify for Mr. Simkanin. These people are the crème de 'la crème of the Tax Honesty movement.

Mr. Simkanin sought out their expertise to assist him in his search for the truth. If you were to buy a house, would you not speak to a real estate sales person? If you wanted a loan, would you not speak to a loan officer or financial expert? Mr. Simkanin spoke to Mr. Joseph Banister [6], a former IRS Criminal Investigation Division Special Agent, and CPA. He spoke with Larkin Rose [7], an admittedly regular guy with the ability to read, think and reason for

*(Continued on page 2)*

***“A nation of well informed men who have been taught to know and prize the rights which God has given them cannot be enslaved. It is in the region of ignorance that tyranny begins.” - Benjamin Franklin***

## ***"Nero"/ McBryde Fiddled... - Continued***

*(Continued from page 1)*

himself. He spoke with Dr. Eduardo M. Rivera [8], an attorney from California, Ms. Victoria Osborn [9], a forensic accountant and with Bob Schulz [10], Chairman of the *We The People Foundation for Constitutional Education*. There were many others but these individuals were defense witnesses.

On Monday morning Mr. Simkanin was led into the courtroom wearing a bright smile, obviously happy with the amount of supporters in the audience section which was filled to capacity. He also wore a dark business suit that was a stark contrast to the cold-steel leg-chains rattling slightly as he walked to the defense table. Once he sat down, he turned and carefully looked out over the audience, smiling as his eyes caught family and friends.

As the trial opened, sitting at the plaintiff's table in the lead chair was David Jarvis, Assistant US Attorney and in the second chair, an attorney whose name I did not get. Behind them sat a woman who looked like a little man until one looked closely. At first I thought she was one of the many US Marshals present but during the trial she would get papers and offer suggestions. She must have been an assistant of some kind. There were 11 witnesses for the plaintiff's side to be sworn in. Two of these were with the CPA firm, which Arrow Custom Plastics had employed, there was a worker from Arrow Custom Plastics and the only lady was Simkanin's sister-in-law, Dianne Clemonds who was also his bookkeeper. Of course Special Agent Allan G. McGowan, who worked the case against Simkanin, was a witness but he remained at the government's table throughout the trial.

*(I tried to speak to an agent in the hallway later as we were all waiting on the jury to return. The agent stated he was "heavily regulated and could not comment on any thing" however, he did admit to one gentleman that the IRS was not under the authority of the Department of the Treasury. He stopped short of saying what governmental body did govern the IRS, or if any US body did in fact govern the Internal Revenue Service. While there seems to be the idea that the International Monetary Fund [11] is the governing body of the IRS, I have since been informed that agents receive their pay-checks from the agriculture department.)*

There were several motions, which were decided upon before the witnesses were to testify. One of the motions, a Motion In Limine [12] as to the reference to Republic of Texas or Texas Republic and was granted, however, Prosecutor Jarvis managed to slip in a reference to Texas Republic during his closing argument.

The first witness for the government was Dianne Clemonds, Dick's sister-in-law. In this reporter's opinion, she appeared slightly put out that the entire event was taking place while she recounted several times her multiple efforts to "warn Dick that what he was doing was going to get him in trouble with the IRS". One gentleman whom I will call Pat, whispered to me, "It isn't what you know that gets you into trouble, it is what you

*(Continued on page 3)*

***“We are fast approaching the stage of the ultimate inversion: the stage where the government is free to do anything it pleases, while the citizens may act only by permission; which is the stage of the darkest periods of human history, the stage of rule by brute force.”***  
**Ayn Rand,**  
***The Nature of Government***

## ***"Nero"/ McBryde Fiddled... - Continued***

*(Continued from page 2)*

think you know, but don't know."

The government paraded several accountants in front of the jury, all testifying as to "the law" and what advice they had given Simkanin. One man, Mr. Jim Kelly, CPA testified that he had sent Ms Clemonds a fax so that she could show it to Mr. Simkanin as "proof" that what he was doing about not withholding "tax" was wrong. When Mr. McColl asked Mr. Kelly if he had researched the law and asked what section told him that this information was correct, Mr. Kelly could not recall where he had read it, only that it was in there somewhere. Mr. McColl asked whether he knew that paying Social Security was voluntary to which the judge answered for the witness by stating, "it is not voluntary to pay social security". Of course McColl objected but the judge would not allow any more discussion as to the legality of the issue. The judge, for a time, simply took over the questioning of the witness, as he was to do through out the trial.

At one point Mr. McColl asked the witness to read into the record the definition of "employee" as it is found in the IRC to which the judge objected. Mr. McColl stated that the Code was the basis for the claims against the defendant but the judge would not hear another word concerning the reading aloud of the Internal Revenue Code, except when the Prosecutor read into the record parts of the code he felt were relevant to his case. When McColl objected, the judge overruled the objection.

Fred Taylor, CPA stated he came to talk with Mr. Simkanin in his office. Taylor was asked by Jarvis, "What was the defendant's reason for not filing?" and he answered, "I don't know--he quoted some parts of law." McColl asked Taylor why he felt he had to dissolve his firm's relationship with Arrow Plastics to which he answered, "The IRS has enforcement power to prevent me from representing people [*his other clients*] in front of the IRS."

A Mr. Sharp of the Texas Workforce Commission testified only for a short period of time but long enough to get on the record that the Texas Workforce Commission [*a state agency*] receives the bulk of their funding from the federal government.

Robert Dean, Custodian of Records, IRS -Austin stated that he prepared documents for trial. He explained that a Transcript is a "statement of a person's account and is created by computer by ordering it or the transcript can be created manually."

Joe Wayne Cooper, IRS agent, and Employer Tax Specialist testified that he had "reviewed the refund claim filed by Mr. Simkanin and I found that it had no merit." [*These claims were the basis for counts 13-27 of the indictment.*] Simkanin would later testify that these claims were to recoup the monies, which he had previously taken from his workers paychecks. His intention was to return the money to the workers. Mr. McColl attempted to ask another question of Mr. Cooper however the judge made several more objections whenever McColl brought up sections 3401 or

*(Continued on page 4)*

---

***"If ye love wealth better than liberty, the tranquility of servitude better than the animating contest of freedom, go home from us in peace. We ask not your counsels or arms. Crouch down and lick the hands, which feed you. May your chains set lightly upon you, and may posterity forget ye were our countrymen."***  
**Samuel Adams**

---



---

***"The strength of the Constitution lies entirely in the determination of each citizen to defend it. Only if every single citizen feels duty bound to do his share in this defense are the constitutional rights secure."***  
**- Albert Einstein**

---

*“The constitutions of most of our States assert that all power is inherent in the people; that they may exercise it by themselves in all cases to which they think themselves competent, or they may act by representatives, freely and equally chosen; that it is their right and duty to be at all times armed; that they are entitled to freedom of person, freedom of religion, freedom of property, and freedom of the press.”*

**-Thomas Jefferson**

**“No man's life, liberty, or property are safe while the legislature is in session.”**

**-- Mark Twain**

## ***"Nero"/ McBryde Fiddled... - Continued***

*(Continued from page 3)*

3402 to which he wanted clarification [13]. McColl asked him to read section 3401 [14] of the Code since this section was the basis of the indictment against Simkanin. The judge objected and would not allow it, even though the indictment had been read aloud at the beginning of the "trial".

Special Agent Allen McGown testified as to the amount of income Mr. Simkanin had. When Defense attorney Arch McColl attempted to ask McGown which regulation required Mr. Simkanin to file but the Assistant US Attorney David Jarvis objected. Mr. McColl attempted to ask about "Gross income" but before he could get the sentence out of his mouth, "Nero"/McBryde interrupted and told McColl that he had already sustained the objection and not to ask the question.

On Tuesday morning, as Mr. Simkanin was led into the courtroom, minus the leg-chains, the audience began to clap, a few people at first and then a thundering standing ovation of respect and support. Mr. Simkanin, with a smile from ear to ear, waved to the audience. He was clearly moved and surprised. The many US Marshals present looked at one another with the "deer-in-the-headlights" look as if to ask each other, "what should we do?"

The bailiff came out and told the audience that if there were another outburst, the courtroom would be cleared. We were not surprised since the courtroom doors were locked and we were kept out of the courtroom unless the trial itself was in session.

Mr. Simkanin testified that morning...correction. Mr. Simkanin attempted to testify but was repeatedly interrupted by Nero/McBryde. Simkanin's effort to state his reasons for his decision to discontinue withholding Social Security, Medicare and FICA from the paychecks of his workers [*basis for counts 1-12 of the indictment*] was met with a barrage of objections from Assistant US Attorney Jarvis. When Jarvis wasn't objecting, McBryde was objecting, constantly interrupting and demanding that Defense Attorney Arch McColl "move on to something else". The audience sat in a state of disbelief, as they could not fathom how justice could possibly be administrated when the judge was practicing law from the bench. How could Dick Simkanin get a fair trial with the judge acting as partner to the Assistant US Attorney!

Mr. Simkanin testified that due to his lengthy research, he had concluded that his business did not fall into the list of businesses, within the Code, which were required to withhold Social Security, Medicare and income taxes. He further stated that he had not applied to the IRS to be a withholding agent, therefore, he believed that he had not done anything wrong. Jarvis asked Mr. Simkanin why he hadn't applied to be a withholding agent [15] to which Simkanin responded, "Because I didn't want to be one!" This would be an important point during the jury's deliberation.

*(Continued on page 5)*



## ***"Nero"/ McBryde Fiddled... - Continued***

*(Continued from page 4)*

Without giving a verbatim recount of the testimony, it should suffice to say that the overwhelming majority of the time when the government objected, the judge sustained the objection. When the defense objected, the judge overruled the objection. One could have placed bets on the outcome of the objections, if one could have found anyone naive enough to ignore the obvious pattern.

Another demonstration of the evidence as to the disposition of the Court was found during the cross-examination process. When Jarvis placed his witnesses on the stand, he was afforded almost unbounded latitude for the area he covered. Every time the defense attempted to cross-examine the witness, McColl was told "that's enough, move on to something relevant" or "that is beyond the scope" even though McColl was asking about the very information which the government questioned the witness. Initially, there were times when Jarvis rose to object on the basis that the question being asked was, in his opinion, "beyond the scope" of the testimony of the witness, however, within short order, the judge soon took the responsibility upon himself to conduct the government's side of the trial. Jarvis just sat back and let the judge play prosecutor.

When it came time for the government to cross-examine the defense witnesses, no amount of objecting by McColl made any difference. The only people who could have possibly not understood that the judge was acting beyond his authority seemed to be the jurors. Except for one red-haired young woman who sat on the front row. The fact that she would be making decisions concerning the LIFE of a fellow man was not enough to keep her awake. I doubt she even understood what the trial was about as she slept through most of it.

Mr. Joe Banister testified but the judge limited the material the defense could address. McBryde constantly interrupted Mr. Banister. There was no reason for Mr. Jarvis to object to anything, as the judge eagerly took over that function.

Possibly the best witness was Mr. Larken Rose. He confidently marched to the witness stand and sat tall in his chair as Jarvis attempted to intimidate him. These efforts were wasted, as it soon became clear that Mr. Rose understood what the Internal Revenue Service and the income tax was. When asked by Jarvis, "Did you make the statement that income tax was the greatest fraud ever perpetrated in the history of the world?" Mr. Rose responded, "Yes, I did say that!" Jarvis, "Do you still feel that way?" Rose: "I absolutely do!" The judge was so interested in Mr. Rose, as evidenced by his extensive questioning about his free "Taxable Income Report" [16] and about his video, "Theft By-Deception" [17] that we were waiting for the judge to ask for a copy or where to buy one!

Victoria Osborn was to be a witness for the defense. However, when the judge learned that Osborn would testify that, based upon her research of IRS documents, she felt the

*(Continued on page 6)*

---

***"America is at that awkward stage. It's too late to work within the system, but too early to shoot the bastards."***  
**-- Claire Wolfe,**  
***101 Things to Do 'Til the Revolution***  
**(1999)**

---

***"Every government degenerates when trusted to the rulers of the people alone. The people themselves, therefore, are its only safe depositories."*** -  
**Thomas Jefferson**

*“... the only purpose for which power can be rightfully exercised over any member of a civilized community against his will is to prevent harm to others. His own good, either physical or moral, is not a sufficient warrant. He cannot rightfully be compelled to do or to forbear because it will be better for him to do so, because it will make him happier, because in the opinions of others to do so would be wise or even right. These are good reasons for remonstrating with him, or reasoning with him, or persuading him, or entreating him, but not for compelling him, or visiting him with any evil in case he do otherwise. To justify that, the conduct from which it is desired to deter him must be calculated to produce evil to someone else.”*

**-- John Stuart Mill, On Liberty [1859]**

## ***"Nero"/ McBryde Fiddled... - Continued***

IRS owed Mr. Simkanin approximately \$100,000, the judge informed Mr. McColl that he did not think Osborn had any thing "relevant" to contribute to the case and he refused to allow her to testify.

John Stadtmiller [18] a radio host and Dr. Eduardo Rivera did testify but their testimony had little impact on Simkanin's evidence or presentation.

The defense rested at 3:05pm and the government right behind them. The parties made their closing arguments in which McColl told the jury that his client was not a criminal. He told the jury that Simkanin had asked the IRS for the law and that his request were treated like "toilet paper", that he was sincere in his belief that his business didn't meet the requirements under the Code to withhold and that he did not act willfully.

The Assistant US Attorney told the jury that the defendant was "trying to get a quick bundle of cash from the IRS", that he was only trying to get out of paying taxes and that "he disregards the law and the court which leads to chaos.."

As if the fiasco of Monday and then Tuesday was not enough to shock any American who had the slightest idea of how a "fair trial" was to be conducted, the worst was still to come. During this time, the judge had simply "kicked" the Constitution around.

Remember that a judge takes an Oath of Office, “to uphold the Constitution and the laws of the United States.” [19] It is his responsibility to adhere to the Rights protected in the Articles of the Constitution. That is why he has to swear to and sign the Oath of Office, yet you and I do not.

McBryde read a 15 page Charge to the jury and then denied the jury a full written copy. He instead allowed the jury to have an abbreviated copy. When McColl objected, he overruled. This surprised no one.

What followed amounted to spitting on the Constitution. McBryde informed the jury that: "You have no right to question the wisdom of the instructions I give you....The defendant's claims as to his beliefs have nothing to do with 'willful'...the IRS is an department or agency of the United States within the meaning of this crime....The IRS Code has been authorized by law to assess and collect income tax.....The defendant's ideas about the constitutionality of the IRS is irrelevant."

McColl filed several motions [20], to which the judge denied the majority of them. The jury sent out about seven notes during the approximately nine hours of their deliberation. Note number four contained the proof which should have freed Simkanin had this been fair and honorable court. The jury stated "Since the defendant and his employees were not listed in the businesses which are required to

*(Continued on page 7)*

## ***"Nero"/ McBryde Fiddled... - Continued***

*(Continued from page 6)*

file, are we to assume that Arrow Plastics was not required to file, or should we read all 7000 pages of the code?" Then the judge answered the jury in open court. He said, "You are not to concern yourselves as to whether they are a business who are exempt. I have made a judicial determination that they had a legal duty to collect and account for the taxes for the years 1997 through 2002."

At this point, the trial was basically over. If the judge has made a judicial determination that the defendant is "guilty", then why have a jury make a decision? When the jury finally returned, they came back with twenty-nine guilty verdicts for counts 3 through 31 and they were hung on counts 1 and 2. The judge declared a mistrial on those two counts.

What was missed by the jurors but not by the audience, is that the jury has the ultimate right to decide both the facts and the law. In *United States v Thomas* (1997) [21], this point is made very clear.

In the Thomas case a juror did not want to adhere to the law and in Simkanin's case, the jury was not given the law but only a judicial determination by the judge. Since they were given a biased and fraudulent definition of a non-existent law, the jury was prevented from arriving at any other verdict. It is a shame that the "common man" in this country is not aware of the rights and duties of the jury, since we are suppose to participate in the jury system.

When meeting with Bob Schulz later, he stated that Mr. Simkanin's face was ashen and but that he was holding up. Mr. Schulz's voice broke and tears fell as he tried to maintain his composure. There were several people who were crying, including this reporter.

What has happened here did not happen to just Dick Simkanin. It happened to all of us. Any one of us could have been in that courtroom for a different reason. The tax issue is not the main point in this judicial impropriety. What we all witnessed was the corruption of OUR judicial system, of OUR courts. The judges have forgotten that they sit in OUR courtrooms. It is time that we unify and take back our courts.

There was a lady who gathered names and address for just this purpose. She will be getting in touch with the people and she will want a written statement of what you saw, just the facts.

**America, it is time for us to take action in the best way we can and in the only way we'll win. Not by the bullet but by the pen!**

**Note: Footnotes on next page...**

---

*“The Revolution of the United States was the result of a mature and reflecting preference of freedom, and not of a vague or ill-defined craving for independence. It contracted no alliance with the turbulent passions of anarchy; but its course was marked, on the contrary, by a love of order and law.”*  
 -- Alexis de Tocqueville,  
*Democracy in America* [1835]

---

*“Taxes should be proportioned to what may be annually spared by the individual.”*  
 - Thomas Jefferson

*(Continued on page 8)*

*“Humanity and good policy conspire to dictate, that the benign prerogative of pardoning should be as little as possible fettered or embarrassed. The criminal code of every country partakes so much of necessary severity, that without an easy access to exceptions in favor of unfortunate guilt, justice would wear a countenance too sanguinary and cruel.”*

-Alexander Hamilton,  
Federalist No. 74

*“The Constitution is a mere thing of wax in the hands of the judiciary, which they may twist and shape into any form they please.”*

-Thomas Jefferson

## ***"Nero"/ McBryde Fiddled... - Continued***

(Continued from page 7)

### Footnotes:

1. <http://indyweek.com/durham/2003-07-02/cover2.html>
2. <http://www.arrowplastics.net/>
3. <http://www.house.gov/paul/tst/tst2001/tst022601.htm>
4. <http://www.uwsa.com/Uwsadisclosure.prison.html>
5. <http://www.taxableincome.net/articles/whynotpay.html>
6. <http://www.freedomabovefortune.com/>
7. <http://www.theft-by-deception.com/prosecute.html>
8. <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ice-bucket/message/6282>
9. [http://www.tpirsrelief.com/video\\_tape\\_investigation.htm](http://www.tpirsrelief.com/video_tape_investigation.htm)
10. <http://www.givemeliberty.org/>
11. <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/aa/>
12. A motion made by an attorney requesting that information which might be prejudicial towards his/her client not be allowed to be heard in a case.
13. <http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/cascode/uscodes/26/subtitles/c/chapters/24/toc.html>
14. <http://www.irs.gov/individuals/article/0,,id=97899,00.html>
15. [http://www.entrustadmin.com/IRS\\_regulations/7701.html](http://www.entrustadmin.com/IRS_regulations/7701.html)
16. <http://www.taxableincome.net/report/index.html>
17. <http://www.theft-by-deception.com/>
18. <http://www.realityradio1320.com/schedule.htm>
19. <http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/28/453.html>
20. <http://www.givemeliberty.org/RTPLawsuit/Simkanin/04-MoDismissLackPersonSubjectJur.pdf>
21. <http://www.levellers.org/jrp/orig/jrp.thomas4.htm>

©2004 Texas Spitfire

*“In every stage of these Oppressions We have Petitioned for Redress in the most humble terms: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. A Prince, whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a Tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free People.”*

The Declaration of Independence of the united States of America

## ***After All, We're Only Human ...Or are we?***

I'm sure most of you have heard this statement many times. It has become one of natural man's ways of excusing his actions & saying in essence, "We're all the same".

Are we really all human, or is this only an excuse to explain away our bad habits & to make our actions more acceptable to those who perceive themselves to be living in a moral society? In order to confirm that our actions are moral, we must convince

(Continued on page 9)



*“In America, no other distinction between man and man had ever been known but that of persons in office exercising powers by authority of the laws, and private individuals. Among these last, the poorest laborer stood on equal ground with the wealthiest millionaire, and generally on a more favored one whenever their rights seem to jar.”*  
--Thomas Jefferson

## *After All, We're Only Human ...Or are we? - Continued*

*(Continued from page 8)*

ourselves & others that “everyone does it” & “we’re all the same”.

I feel the need to make understood to readers at this point, that I do not see things in the same light as the majority. I have a tendency to look past the surface & into the substance. I attribute this to much prayer for guidance. Be careful what you ask for, because you just might get it, & it may not be what you were expecting. Reflecting on the past, I regret not having included wisdom in my petitions. However, I’m working on that every day. Keep in mind that all things are not as they appear.

I’m going to make some profound statements here which I’m sure will convince you in the beginning that I’m a total lunatic or nut case. If so, rest assured you are not alone in your thinking. Every day I look for the men with the white coats. The reason they haven’t already come is because those coats are made for human beings, therefore they would not fit. That’s right; I am not a human being.

In Balantine’s Self Pronouncing Law Dictionary, **Human Being** directs you to "See **Monster**." In this same dictionary, **Monster** is defined as "a human being by birth, but in some part resembling a lower animal”.

In Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988, a **Monster** is defined as "a person so cruel, wicked, and depraved, etc., as to horrify others."

From the Random House Dictionary of the English Language, **Human Being** is defined as a "Natural man: unenlightened or unregenerate," and **Unregenerate** means "not regenerate; unrepentant; an unregenerate sinner; not convinced by or unconverted to a particular religion; wicked, sinful, dissolute."

In Webster's New World Dictionary, **Humanitarianism** is defined as "the doctrine that humankind may become perfect without divine aid."

And, in the Random House Webster's College Dictionary, 1990, **Humanism** is defined as "any system or mode of thought or action in which human interests, values and dignity predominate, especially an ethical theory that often rejects the importance of a belief in God."

So, when anyone calls himself or herself a human being, or a “humanitarian,” they are saying (according to every definition of these words, and according to the law), "I’m an animal; I’m a monster; I’m not saved; I’m unrepentant; I’m an unregenerate sinner; I’m not converted; I’m wicked, sinful, and dissolute; I’m cruel, depraved, unenlightened; and I reject Christ's divinity and the importance of a belief in God." Do you still consider yourself a human being?

The scriptures place a very high importance on the words we speak. Shouldn’t we? If it’s not important, why does scripture prohibit "vain babblings" (1 Timothy 6:20, 2 Timothy 2:16). We as a people have been deceived into using words that we

*(Continued on page 10)*

*“Every election is a sort of advance auction sale of stolen goods.”*  
H.L. Mencken

*“The moment the idea is admitted into society that property is not as sacred as the laws of God, and that there is not a force of law and public justice to protect it, anarchy and tyranny commence. If 'Thou shalt not covet' and 'Thou shalt not steal' were not commandments of Heaven, they must be made inviolable precepts in every society before it can be civilized or made free.”*

-- John Adams

*“Guard with jealous attention the public liberty. Suspect every one who approaches that jewel.*

*Unfortunately, nothing will preserve it but downright force. Whenever you give up that force, you are ruined.”*

Patrick Henry

## *After All, We're Only Human ...Or are we? - Continued*

*(Continued from page 9)*

never fully understood. Those words describe our “person or persona”, instead of words that describe us as children of the Lord. Proverbs 18:21, "Death and life are in the power of the tongue." And a favorite is Proverbs 6:2, "Thou art snared with the words of thy mouth; thou art taken with the words of thy mouth."

Here are a few examples of definitions that mean the exact opposite of what they were originally defined as. These are from Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition, 1988:

**Nice:** "strange, lazy, foolish, stupid, ignorant, not knowing, to be ignorant, difficult to please, fastidious, discriminative," etc. They say it's an archaic word, but the substance of all words is in the meaning.

**Corpse:** "A living body"

**Awful:** "Highly impressive. Reverential"

These are from *A Dictionary Of Law* by William C. Anderson 1893:

**Bank:** "A judge's seat; also, a court sitting for the decision of matters of law."

**Elopement:** "The act of a wife voluntarily leaving her husband to live with another man."

**Lobbying:** "Seeking to influence the vote of a member of the legislature by bribery, promise of reward, intimidation, or other dishonest means."

**Permanent:** "Does not always embrace the idea of absolute perpetuity."

Scripture tells us that the natural man is spiritually dead. Natural person, under man's law has the same meaning as natural man.

1 Corinthians 2:14, "But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned."

Churches & other corporations like “persons”, must have “legal standing” under law in order to obtain benefits. As long as we claim an earthly persona, we will be subject to earthly authority which is of Satan. The word “person” is used in scripture to describe the noun, not the man. God is no respecter of persons. (2 Samuel 14:14, 2 Chronicles 19:7, Acts 10:34, Romans 2:11, Galatians 2:6, Ephesians 6:9, Colossians 3:25, 1 Peter 1:17).

However, you'll find several scriptures telling you that God had respect for man. He

*(Continued on page 11)*

*“Necessity is the plea for every infringement of human freedom. It is the argument of tyrants; it is the creed of slaves.”*  
**- William Pitt, Speech to the House of Commons**

## *After All, We’re Only Human ...Or are we? - Continued*

*(Continued from page 10)*

had respect for Abel (Genesis 4:4), the children of Israel (Exodus 2:25, Leviticus 26:9, 2 Kings 13:23), & for the lowly (Psalms 138:6). And there lies proof that man is not a person. We must learn to use the very same words Jesus used. State clearly the law of your domicile & let it shine through the fruit of your lips.

1 Corinthians 2:12-13, "Now we have received, not the spirit of the world, but the spirit which is of God; that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God. Which things also we **speak**, not in the words which man's wisdom teacheth, but which the Holy Ghost teacheth; comparing **spiritual things with spiritual.**"

©2004 Rick (of the Bennett family)

## *Food for Thought*

“If liberty should be the highest political end, then what is the grounding for that goal? It should be clear . . . that, first and foremost, liberty is a moral principle, grounded in the nature of man. In particular, it is a principle of justice, of the abolition of aggressive violence in the affairs of men. Hence, to be grounded and pursued adequately, the libertarian goal must be sought in the spirit of an overriding devotion to justice. But to possess such devotion on what may well be a long and rocky road, the libertarian must be possessed of a passion for justice, an emotion derived from and channeled by his rational insight into what natural justice requires. Justice, not the weak reed of mere utility, must be the motivating force if liberty is to be attained.” -- Murray N. Rothbard

Now we must look at the enemies of liberty who disguise themselves in sheep’s clothing:

Carnegie himself told us in 1934:

"American civilization is embarking on vast experiments in social planning and control which call for large-scale cooperation on the part of the people. The age of laissez faire in economy and government is closing and a new age of collectivism is emerging. The implications for education are clear and imperative: (a) the efficient functioning of the emerging economy and the full utilization of its potentialities require profound changes in the attitudes and outlook of the American people, especially the rising generation a complete and frank recognition that the old order is passing, that the new order is emerging. Organized public education in the United States, much more than ever before, is now compelled, if it is to fulfill its social obligations, to adjust its objectives, its curriculum, its methods of instruction, and its administrative procedures to the requirements of the emerging integrated order. If the school is to justify its maintenance and assume its responsibilities, it must recognize the new order and proceed to equip the rising generation to cooperate effectively in the increasingly interdependent society and to live rationally and well within its limitations and possibilities."

*“A wise and frugal government ... shall restrain men from injuring one another, shall leave them otherwise free to regulate their own pursuits of industry and improvement, and shall not take from the mouth of labor the bread it has earned. This is the sum of good government.”*  
**-- Thomas Jefferson, First Inaugural Address, [March 4, 1801]**

## Biographies for Article Contributors

### ©2003 TexasSpitfire

I am a 4<sup>th</sup> generation Texan with a long list of Confederate and Revolutionary ancestors. My lines go back to 1855 in Texas and to the late 1600's in America. In other words, I come from a long line of freedom fighters with one having had a book written about him: "William Lawson, A Scottish Rebel" by Bill Porter.

My own awakening came as a result of the Viet Nam conflict as I came to slowly understand what was going on behind the scenes and that all was not as it was portrayed. For me the final "nail in the government's coffin" came on May 4, 1970 when the Nat'l Guard gunned down four Kent State students during a protest of "our" invasion of Cambodia.

I have been reading, studying and protesting in some form or fashion ever since.

### ©2003 Gerry Donaldson

I was born a Texan and my ancestry includes a Federal Judge, two of the original 100 members of the Texas Rangers and my grandfather was a recipient of the Congressional Medal of Honor for his experiences running a POW camp in New Guinea during WWII. I am a blend of American Indian, German and French. I was a professional musician until 1987 when I changed careers to become an entrepreneur and expert in database/data warehouse engineering. I am currently the owner of Target Database Marketing, providing marketing services for small to mid-sized companies.

I am the founder of the Constitutional Review Committee which is devoted to informing the public and obtaining support for legislation that would return our country to the intent of our founders. I am a Constitutional and American History Scholar, specializing in Constitutional Law, American Jurisprudence and the original intent of our founders. I have read, collected and maintain an electronic library of over 1,500 books, articles and research on the Constitution, American History, United States Law and the history of government, the legal system and philosophy.

### ©2004 Rick (of the Bennett family)

My name is Rick (of the Bennett family). I'm a self proclaimed rebel & non-conformist was born in 1952 in Dyersburg, Tennessee. While working as a long distance trucker I'd spend much lay over time studying law books & scripture. I now enjoy playing music & teaching True biblical law to members of 501(c) 3 corporations.

---

### Woodrow Wilson stated it bluntly:

*“We want one class to have a liberal education. We want another class, a very much larger class of necessity, to forgo the privilege of a liberal education and fit themselves to perform specific difficult manual tasks.”*

---

## FYI

*Patriot Perspective* is looking for a dedicated host for our archives.

If anyone would like to donate towards this effort, please contact us at  
[a\\_patriot\\_perspective@fastmail.us](mailto:a_patriot_perspective@fastmail.us)

*"As parents, we can have no joy, knowing that this government is not sufficiently lasting to ensure any thing which we may bequeath to posterity:  
And by a plain method of argument, as we are running the next generation into debt, we ought to do the work of it, otherwise we use them meanly and pitifully.  
In order to discover the line of our duty rightly, we should take our children in our hand, and fix our station a few years farther into life;  
that eminence will present a prospect,  
which a few present fears and prejudices conceal from our sight."  
--Thomas Paine*

**Important Note!** The Patriot Perspective is accepting applications from anyone who wishes to be a field investigative reporter. Send us an email at the address below and we will forward you our agreement. Once the agreement is signed, you will need to send a jpg photo and a donation of 25 dollars for the press pass to the address below.



The Patriot Perspective© was created to offer information and commentary for those Americans who have recognized that America is not following the original intent of our founders. The “Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness”, guaranteed to us by the Constitution, were “inalienable” rights given by God and existed prior to the Constitution. The definition of “inalienable rights” used in our Declaration of Independence means:

*"Rights which can **never** be abridged because they are so fundamental" - Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition*

These rights were **NOT** given us by the Constitution, they are ours at birth. They are **NOT** *privileges* that can be granted, nor can they be taken away or abridged.

All rights reserved. Subscribers understand and agree to our Disclaimer:

*All representations of the law and quoted sources are accurate and complete to the best of our ability. We make no claims as to how the courts may adhere to the “Rule of Law” or the Constitution of the United States.*

*The Patriot Perspective is currently distributed in the first week of each month.*

You can support our efforts and this newsletter by sending your donation by check or money order to:

TexasSpitfire©  
1431 Wirt Rd, #123  
Houston, Texas 77055

[a\\_patriot\\_perspective@fastmail.us](mailto:a_patriot_perspective@fastmail.us)

Archives at: [http://www.targetdbmarketing.com/Jefferson/common\\_sense.htm](http://www.targetdbmarketing.com/Jefferson/common_sense.htm)