

Patriot Perspective

©2004 Texas Spitfire, Editor



"Bind down the government with the chains of the Constitution."

Thomas Jefferson

Inside this issue:

The Core of the "Homosexual Marriage" Issue	1
Legal Tender	6
Patriots and Their Spouses	8
Blackstone on "Natural Rights"	10
Special Notice	12
New Possibilities for Advertisers!	12
About the Patriot Perspective	13

The Core of the "Homosexual Marriage" Issue

Or ...How the State Has Revealed It's Truth

Editor's Note: *The following is a compilation from the emails of Alfred Adask . With his permission, I have edited this piece because I felt his message was too important to not be published quickly. Al will write a follow up, which we hope to bring to our readers in the next issue. We anticipate that to be in 2 to 3 weeks.*

Government does not license "marriages"-- it licenses marriage RELATIONSHIPS. There's a difference.

A biblical "marriage" is not the same as a "marriage relationship". A biblical "marriage" is under God (not the State) and results in two (a man and woman) becoming "one flesh".

On the other hand, a state-licensed "marriage RELATIONSHIP" results in two (man and woman) becoming three (man, woman and government administrator) entangled in a three-party "relationship"--a bizarre "ménage a trois" which includes one man, one woman and one artificial entity (corporation).

It is a maxim of law that all "relationships" are legal fictions. Legal fictions are lies. The Bible declares Satan to be the father of all lies. If the Bible is true, a legal fiction/lie must be under Satan rather than under God.

If so, a state-licensed "marriage relationship" can't be a biblical "marriage". Instead, the "marriage relationship" is a legal fiction, a lie, and absolutely and properly subjects it to government regulation. Folks should see that the state-licensed marriage is ungodly. They should inevitably ask why didn't their priest and ministers warn them...i.e., why did the churches go along with the marriage license program? The answer is that churches didn't protect against these ungodly marriages because the 26 USC 501(c) 3 "churches" are STATE churches and not churches of God.

The truth is that the homosexuals are right: there's no legal reason why homosexuals should be prevented from entering into the same state-licensed "marriage relationships" as heterosexuals--and we can expect the courts to correctly rule that way in the near future. Homosexuals have the same "right" to

(Continued on page 2)

Important Announcement: Having received an overwhelming number of requests from our readers, we are proud to announce that the *Patriot Perspective* will begin coming out on a twice monthly basis. See back page for details!

“Their movement to gain the "right" to marriage RELATIONSHIPS is not a degradation of the principles of true, biblical marriage, but an exposure of the false nature of our modern, state-licensed, legal-fiction 'relationships'.”
- Alfred Adask

The Core of the “Homosexual Marriage” Issue - Continued

(Continued from page 1)

enter into the legal fiction (lie) of a "marriage RELATIONSHIP" as a man and woman . . . or a man and a dog . . . or two women and a man. The list goes on. The state has never licensed "marriages"--it only licenses people to cohabit, to shack up, and to engage in the pretense of marriage, but not the real marriage as found in the Bible. (*See **West v. West** below)

The point is NOT that homosexuals are suddenly getting the same "marriage" as heterosexuals, but that heterosexuals have (for generations) been unwittingly receiving the same state-licensed "marriage RELATIONSHIPS" as are now afforded to homosexuals. I.e., if you have a state-licensed "marriage relationship," you and your spouse are no more bound thereby in a GODLY "marriage" than a couple of queers shacking up in Massachusetts.

Truly, homosexuals cannot enter into a biblical "marriage" (where two become "one flesh"). Their recent "advances" in securing the "right" to enter into "marriage relationships" have no more spiritual significance than my "right" to dress up in a cape and pretend I'm "Batman". Both pretenses are equally fictional. No matter how many capes and cowls I don, I'm not really Batman. Likewise, no matter how many state-licensed marriage relationships the homosexuals engage in, they aren't really "married".

Even so, the recent homosexual "advances" in securing the privilege to enter into "marriage relationships" may ultimately be a good thing because it may help expose ALL state-licensed marriages as legal fictions, lies, and ungodly. Their movement to gain the "right" to marriage RELATIONSHIPS is not a degradation of the principles of true, biblical "marriage," but an exposure of the false nature of our modern, state-licensed, legal-fiction "relationships".

Ultimately, large numbers of spiritual people may realize that modern, state-licensed "marriage relationships" are at least ungodly (and arguably Satanic). As a result, many people may revoke their state-licensed, fictional "marriage relationships" and seek true marriages under God. They may begin to see that government uses the marriage-relationship LICENSE to gain control over all members of the family (especially the children) through "family" and/or "domestic relations" law. Folks may even conclude that by revoking their state-licensed marriage relationships, they may regain some personal freedom and rights of control over their own children.

As folks begin to learn that state-licensed "marriage RELATIONSHIPS" are fictions promoted by government to oppress the people, folks may likewise begin to see that the 501(c)(3), state-licensed "churches" are also ungodly. Ultimately, seeing that state-licensed "marriage relationships" and state-licensed "churches" are ungodly, folks may even begin to doubt the validity of other licenses and collectivist government in general.

(Continued on page 3)

“What bitter anguish would not the people of Athens have often escaped if their government had contained so provident a safeguard against the tyranny of their own passions? Popular liberty might then have escaped the indelible reproach of decreeing to the same citizens the hemlock on one day and statues on the next.”
--Alexander Hamilton or James Madison, **Federalist No. 63**

The Core of the “Homosexual Marriage” Issue - Continued

(Continued from page 2)

Thus, a renewed appreciation for true marriage and the true church could flow from understanding the true nature of state-licensed "marriage relationships" recently extended to homosexuals.

The homosexuals will NEVER receive a Godly "marriage" nor can government decree otherwise--and government knows it. All government can do is give the homosexuals the same "right" to enter into a fictional marriage RELATIONSHIP as is already provided to ignorant heterosexuals.

However, as soon as heterosexual couples understand the nature of "marriage relationships," they'll see that their own licensed "marriage relationships" are no different from and not the least bit superior to the ungodly "marriage relationships" now provided to homosexuals under the guise of state licenses. The equivalence of the heterosexual and homosexual "marriage relationships" will reveal that both "relationships" are fictional and potentially "hazardous to your spiritual health".

As some people (a remnant, perhaps) begin to see the truth about Godly marriage" (as revealed, ironically, by the exposure of the "marriage relationships" provided by the ungodly homosexual community), they may begin to "come out of her," turn back to God, and seek salvation. God appears to be using the ungodly homosexuals to expose ungodly government, diminish that government's powers, and free the "elect" to choose to turn back towards Him.

As for the various cries from Congressmen and Senators for a constitutional amendment to expressly define marriage to be ONLY the union of one man to one woman--that won't happen any time soon. To define all legally-recognized "marriages" as only the union of one man and one woman would remove government as the third (invisible) party to every state-licensed marriage, shatter the foundation of modern government power over "family law" and "domestic relationships," and signal a spiritual rebirth in this nation second only to that seen in A.D. 1776. No way that'll happen so long as our government is dominated by the collectivists of the Republican (fascist) and Democrat (communist) parties.

Once the people see that democracy is ungodly, they will be open to understand the spiritual foundation for the "republican form of government" guaranteed by our federal and Texas constitutions. The republicans are crypto-fascists who believe in enriching the corporations at the expense of the people. The democrats are crypto-communists who believe in enriching the unions and/or big government at the expense of the people. The common denominator in both parties is that they serve the interests of organizations rather than individuals and do so based on the fundamental premise of democracy: that individuals hold no "unalienable Rights". Given that unalienable Rights flow from God ("We hold these truths to be self-

“The common denominator in both parties is that they serve the interests of organizations rather than individuals and do so based on the fundamental premise of democracy: that individuals hold no ‘inalienable rights’.”

- Alfred Adask

“The happy State of Matrimony is, undoubtedly, the surest and most lasting Foundation of Comfort and Love; the Source of all that endearing Tenderness and Affection which arises from Relation and Affinity; the grand Point of Property; the Cause of all good Order in the World, and what alone preserves it from the utmost Confusion; and, to sum up all, the Appointment of infinite Wisdom for these great and good Purposes.” - Benjamin Franklin.

(Continued on page 4)

“Government has used state-licensed ‘marriage relationships to screw with people’s faith for most of a century. This is no accident or misunderstanding or temporary mistake. This is an ongoing treachery.”
- Alfred Adask

The Core of the “Homosexual Marriage” Issue - Continued

(Continued from page 3)

evident, . . .”), the democracy's denial of such rights inevitably implies the denial of God. Because both parties embrace democracy and thus, implicitly deny God, they are truly two "evils". Sometimes the Republicans are the lesser of the two evils; sometimes the Democrats. But, because both parties embrace democracy, they are always EVIL. We do routinely vote for the "lesser of two evils" so this old cliché is more insightful and intuitive than most imagine.

This issue is absolutely explosive and properly advanced may do more to raise public awareness of government corruption and treachery than anything seen since the Warren Report. The homosexual marriage issue is big enough to threaten the foundations of the existing government!

When people who are serious about their faith realize that our government has been promoting UNGODLY, three-party "marriage relationships" for most of a century, (thus INTENTIONALLY depriving us of Godly marriages) they will be ready to riot. Maybe even tear down the Congress, White House and state capitols.

Some of the relevant statements by the Court in West v West are pulled out for review. Al's comments are in bracketed blue. -- TxS:

West v West No. 5-97-0108 In the Appellate Court of Illinois Fifth District.

"...Ginger begins her argument with a discussion of *the long-recognized constitutionally protected interest of parents to raise their children without undue State influence*. See Meyer v. Nebraska, 262 U.S. 390, 399, 67 L. Ed. 1042, 43 S. Ct. 625, 626 (1923); Pierce v. Society of the Sisters of the Holy Names of Jesus & Mary, 268 U.S. 510, 534-35, 69 L. Ed. 1070, 45 S. Ct. 571-73 (1925); Prince v. Massachusetts, 321 U.S. 158, 166, 88 L. Ed. 645, 64 S. Ct. 438, 442 (1944); Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 U.S. 205, 235, 32 L. Ed. 2d 15, 92 S. Ct. 1526, 1543 (1972); Santosky v. Kramer, 455 U.S. 745, 753, 71 L. Ed. 2d 599, 102 S. Ct. 1388, 1394 (1982). However, this constitutionally protected parental *interest is not wholly without limit or beyond regulation*. Prince v. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, 321 U.S. 158, 166, 88 L. Ed. 645, 64 S. Ct. 438, 442 (1944). "[T]he state has a wide range of *power for limiting parental freedom and authority in things affecting the child's welfare*." Prince, 321 U.S. at 167, 88 L. Ed. 645, 64 S. Ct. at 442. In fact, the *entire familial relationship involves the State*.

When two people decide to get married, they are required to first procure a *license* from the State. If they have children of *this [licensed] marriage*, they are *required* by the State to *submit* their *children* to certain things, such as school attendance and vaccinations. Furthermore, *if at some time in the future the couple decides the [licensed] marriage is not working, they must petition the State*

(Continued on page 5)

“Genuine morality is preserved only in the school of adversity; a state of continuous prosperity may easily prove a quick sand to virtue.”
- Johann Friedrich von Schiller

The Core of the “Homosexual Marriage” Issue - Continued

(Continued from page 4)

for a divorce.

[Licensed] Marriage is a *three-party contract* between the man, the woman, and the *State*. **Linneman v. Linneman**, 1 Ill. App. 2d 48, 50, 116 N.E.2d 182, 183 (1953), citing **Van Koten v. Van Koten**, 323 Ill. 323, 326, 154 N. E. 146 (1926). The *State represents the public interest in the institution [relationship? Entity? Legal fiction?]* of marriage. **Linneman**, 1 Ill. App. 2d at 50, 116 N.E.2d at 183. This *public interest* is what *allows the State to intervene* in certain situations to protect the *interests* of *members* of the *family*. **[The “public” probably doesn’t get an “interest” in a “marriage” until that “marriage” is first licensed by the Public/State and becomes a “marriage relationship”. . . . I doubt that marriage is truly a “contract” except as defined in Restatement of Contracts and thus a trust.]** The State is like a *silent partner* in the family who is not active in the everyday running of the family but becomes active and exercises its *power and authority* only when *necessary to protect* some important *interest* of family life. Taking all of this into consideration, *the question no longer is whether the State has an interest or place [as representative of some “interest”]* in disputes such as the one at bar, but it becomes a question of *timing and necessity*. Has the State intervened *too early* or perhaps intervened where *no* intervention was *warranted*? This question then directs our discussion to an analysis of the provision of the Act that allows the challenged State intervention (750 ILCS 5/607(b) (West 1996)). . . .”

Government has used state-licensed "marriage relationships" to screw with people's faith or most of a century. This is no accident or misunderstanding or temporary mistake. This is an ongoing treachery. Once this reality is fully understood, people will be shocked and then enraged and then galvanized to ACTION. They will realize their government has intentionally deprived them of Godly marriages, and done so to gain power over the American families (especially children).

The Constitution declares that the federal government shall only have those rights and powers expressly delegated—all else are retained by the people or the states of the Union. But what *kind* of rights have been delegated to the government? I.e., is it even possible for us to “delegate” our unalienable Rights to government? I don’t think it is. If so, we can only delegate our inferior “human,” or “personal” or “civil” rights—but not our superior (sovereign) “unalienable Rights”. If that’s so, then our perfect unalienable Rights should always trump any government “interest” (or even right). How does government get around that maxim? By tricking us into changing our status from that of “men” to “persons,” “citizens,” or some such. Only men have unalienable Rights. So long as they can deceive us into appearing at court in some capacity other than “men,” they can ignore the issue of unalienable Rights since we are not in a status to claim them.

“A President of the Union, on the other hand, though he may even pardon treason, when prosecuted in the ordinary course of law, could shelter no offender, in any degree, from the effects of impeachment and conviction.”

- Alexander Hamilton,
Federalist
No. 69

“Never trouble trouble till trouble troubles you. I must study politics and war that my sons may have liberty to study mathematics and philosophy. My sons ought to study mathematics and philosophy, geography, natural history, naval architecture, navigation, commerce and agriculture in order to give their children a right to study painting, poetry, music, architecture, statuary, tapestry, and porcelain.”

- John Adams

Legal Tender - Part 1

Special acknowledgment:

I would like to thank Gerry Donaldson for setting the groundwork for the set of articles I will be writing for the Patriot Perspective.

Some fundamental truths are harder to accept and believe than others. The really difficult ones are crossroads in our maturation, our understanding of the world we live in. This is similar to the messages and symbology found in the movie, *The Matrix*.

As a common point of understanding, when most discover that Santa Clause is no other than your Mother and Father, and it is they who are the ones bringing you presents, this is an epiphany, a crossroads. This is an acceptable trade-off between fiction and reality.

However, events like learning that your parents are getting a divorce is an earthquake that can shake your world and can affect your perception of how the world works for the rest of your life. To use another analogy, when Galileo suggested that the earth was round, he was almost burned at the stake as heresy. We now know that he was correct. However, at that time, it was the general perception held by virtually all of humanity. It was an epiphany when they learned that this commonly held concept was false.

When the current public discovers the truth about our monetary and economic systems, which dispels a commonly held belief, it will be just such an epiphany!

Without as full understanding our monetary and economic systems, people cannot make a wise, informed decision as to whether their use of fiat money is buying them the life they wanted. With knowledge about how the world currently works, people can become good at managing the resources and product of their labors.

This is not an easy process, making a paradigm shift in your understanding of the system. However, it is a transformative process. It involves the obtaining of new knowledge and applying it. Stepping into alignment with the way things *actually* are gives people the capacity to invent, in their own life circumstances, ways to save money and liberate themselves from mindless consumption.

In the old mindset, what might have looked like sacrifice or deprivation, can transform into the capacity to live the life of their dreams. A wise person once said, "It is the sign of true intelligence to look at what everyone else thinks is normal and to find it very strange indeed."

Money isn't a simple, wholesome, and value-neutral artifact. It is no longer backed by real wealth, and it isn't created by the government or by people but rather by banks, when they make new loans and the interest on these loans requires the very growth economy that is transgressing the limits of human communities and the natural world. Fully 95 percent of money is based on interest-bearing bank loans.

"The multiplication of public offices, increase of expense beyond income, growth and entailment of a public debt, are indications soliciting the employment of the pruning knife." -- Thomas Jefferson

"In America, no other distinction between man and man had ever been known but that of persons in office exercising powers by authority of the laws, and private individuals. Among these last, the poorest laborer stood on equal ground with the wealthiest millionaire, and generally on a more favored one whenever their rights seem to jar." -- Thomas Jefferson

"In politics, stupidity is not a handicap." -- Napoleon Bonaparte (1769-1821)

(Continued on page 7)

“The moment the idea is admitted into society that property is not as sacred as the laws of God, and that there is not a force of law and public justice to protect it, anarchy and tyranny commence. If 'Thou shalt not covet' and 'Thou shalt not steal' were not commandments of Heaven, they must be made inviolable precepts in every society before it can be civilized or made free.”

- John Adams

“I am not influenced by the expectation of promotion or pecuniary reward. I wish to be useful, and every kind of service necessary for the public good, become honorable by being necessary.”

- Nathan Hale

Legal Tender - Part 1 - Continued

(Continued from page 6)

How money is brought into being by the FED has everything to do with the kind of society we have and that society's impact on the rights and freedoms we rely on. It's time for Americans to wake up to the truth, no matter how hard they try to ignore it.

The foundations of fiat money creation are based, not on valid economic arguments but on the greed of the most powerful elite in the world, the International Bankers. This was foretold by Austrian Economists, Ludwig Von Mises and Nobel Peace Prize winner, Frederick Hayek as early as the 1940's. It was proven by the collapse of the economy in the U.S.S.R.

Banking and finance have driven legislatures through powerful private interests into forcing the American people into institutionalized agreements that effect our property ownership, corporate power, taxation, etc...

Every meeting of the World Bank, the World Trade Organization, the International Monetary Fund, and the World Economic Forum all spring from the same source. To protest these actions isn't, as the media would have us think, anti-government activists spouting meaningless rhetoric. Those people, like myself, are NOT anti-government! We speak out after researching the truth thoroughly. This is in spite of the efforts of the media to control what we see and what we think. We have learned to study the issue closely and gather seemingly unconnected facts in order to see the whole picture.

Basically, the search is like putting together one of those 1,000 piece jigsaw puzzles when you are only given 700 to begin with. We have to search out those remaining 300 pieces in order to see the whole picture, the whole and unadulterated truth.

What this picture has revealed is that the fiat money system and the banker inspired fractional banking system have a hidden agenda. That agenda is hidden taxation and profits for those International banking cartels.

Money, if the truth is known, is not the root of all evil. It is the love of it. Institutionalized greed as practiced among some of the privileged is surely a travesty.

The first step is to change our mindset and start moving away from Federal Reserve Notes to using gold and silver as our currency of exchange. This needs to start at private exchanges but we then need to structure a plan to expand this into our local businesses. We must provide local communities with a way to buy and sell some goods and services using a self-created means of exchange that isn't based on debt, interest, and distant financial decisions made solely for economic gain.

(Continued on page 8)

Legal Tender - Part 1 - Continued

(Continued from page 7)

Make no mistake, however. The impact of this local trading ability, if developed in an intelligent and sophisticated way, WILL shift our society away from dependence upon unsustainable and ignoble ways of meeting our needs.

In my next article of this series, I will point out organizations and institutions that are already making headway in creating a society based on real money, based on gold and silver.

©2004 James Bowie

Patriots and Their Spouses

In considering the services and efforts of current Patriots in America, we should regard them as far more magnanimous, because they are rendering truth to a nation that no longer acknowledges them as the sovereign citizens that they actually are. In addition, there are other collateral damages that are too often ignored.

Current day patriots, in many cases, do not even own land in the country for which they fight. They fight based upon convictions and principles that appear to come from some place deep down in their souls. Many find themselves on the opposite side of the “law” as they follow a higher law and do not convey themselves as the subjugated citizens do.

Patriots come in varying degrees of conviction, from mildly objecting to what they see as wrong to fully combating those wrongs and, as our forefathers, risk their lives, liberties and properties in order to regain the freedom and liberties our founders intended. Bravery, under such circumstances, has an inspiring beauty and nobility.

But let us not forget that these patriots, both men and women, have wives or husbands, children, families, neighbors and friends who are also affected by having this battle raging.

In a land where wealth has become the basis of reputation, the patriot must not only prove his sagacity and enterprise by successful trade or speculation, he must also show a higher level of intellect. He must *be*, not merely claim the right to be, a scholar. This professional eminence is peculiarly the result of practice and long experience.

Therefore, the patriot is forced by necessity to have a modicum of financial success, which normally requires working long hours, thus taking him away from his/her family, friends and neighbors. This not only provides his family with sustenance and shelter but also funds his patriotic efforts.

(Continued on page 9)

“The essential principles of our Government ... form the bright constellation which has gone before us and guided our steps through an age of revolution and reformation. The wisdom of our sages and blood of our heroes have been devoted to their attainment. They should be the creed of our political faith, the text of civic instruction, the touchstone by which to try the services of those we trust; and should we wander from them in moments of error or of alarm, let us hasten to retrace our steps and to regain the road which alone leads to peace, liberty and safety.”

-- Thomas Jefferson, First Inaugural Address [1801]

"Security is mostly superstition. It does not exist in nature, nor do the children of men as a whole experience it. Avoiding danger is no safer in the long run than outright exposure. Life is either a daring adventure, or nothing. To keep our faces toward change and behave like free spirits in the presence of fate is strength undefeatable."
 -- Helen Keller

Patriots and Their Spouses - Continued

(Continued from page 8)

In addition, he/she must spend countless hours in study and research. Most patriots devote years and even decades to this endeavor. Once again, taking them away from those they care for.

In the great majority of patriots, it is difficult for he/she to obtain the social respect and the highest employment, due to the constant media propaganda and mental programming of the average American. This also spills over onto the spouses and children of patriots thereby besmirching their names and respect in the community at large. To be sure, these efforts require the preeminent ability to make a way up to the light of truth through an overwhelming mass of prejudice and contempt. Strong will and eminent endowment are fundamental character traits necessary to finally wring from a contemptuous community the reluctant confession of the truth of the patriot's convictions.

We must encourage and support such men and women in their perseverance, by showing a generous appreciation of their labors, and a cordial sympathy for their trials and the supreme sacrifices they and their spouses are making.

Sadly, the spouses of these patriotic individuals are most often forgotten, especially in this day and age. As the old adage goes, "Behind every great man there is a great woman." For patriotic women, the same holds true.

The difficulties and hardships faced are the same ones that our founding fathers and their families suffered. For many Americans, the bond of marriage has been weakened and subverted into a commercial and economic bond firstly, with the Godly bond holding a lesser place than that of our forefathers. We must restore that bond to its rightful status under God and remove the State from our marriages.

We must pay special attention to the spouses and families of patriots, remembering that these supportive spouses are just as important as the actions of the patriots they support. They and their children are the bulwarks which give the patriot strength to continue, and give them the moral and ethical impetus for all their endeavors.

Without such support, our efforts to restore ethical and moral legitimacy and accountability to our government and public servants will surely fail.

I sometimes blush to see that, in the days of our country's weakness, we forget the power that spouses have to help or harm us. We have availed ourselves gladly of their services, while we have, in our forgetfulness, crushed them or left them behind. Let us resolve henceforth to do them justice and acknowledge them to others.

"An honest man can feel no pleasure in the exercise of power over his fellow citizens.... There has never been a moment of my life in which I should have relinquished for it the enjoyments of my family, my farm, my friends and books."
 - Thomas Jefferson,
 Letter to John
 Melish January 13,
 1813

(Continued on page 10)

Patriots and Their Spouses - Continued

(Continued from page 9)

The next time you meet or speak to the spouse of a patriot or their children, please offer your heartfelt support and acknowledgement for the hardships they face in this struggle. A simple showing of your care and support for them will pay off and increase the successes of our goal of freedom a thousand fold.

©2004 Three Crows

Blackstone on “Inalienable” Rights

Sir William Blackstone wrote “Commentaries on the Laws of England” in 1765. This work was the authoritative guide commonly used by our founders in determining the definitions and determinations of law that our system was founded on. Our own U.S. Supreme Court held it as the highest legal authority to guide their decisions until the Civil War (or if you prefer, the war of Northern Aggression) when they replaced it with Bouvier’s Law Dictionary as their primary reference.

The writings of Blackstone are still cited in cases today. It is therefore, important to understand that when Thomas Jefferson talked about “inalienable rights” in the Declaration of Independence, he added the adjective “inalienable” for absolute clarity. He wanted to leave no possibility for misinterpretation by future generations. He was referring to “natural rights”, endowed by our Creator as so ably defined in the following original and intended definition.

“Those rights, then, which God and nature have established, and are therefore called natural rights, such as life and liberty, need not the aid of human laws to be more effectually invested in every man than they are; neither do they receive any additional strength when declared by the municipal laws to be inviolate. On the contrary, no human legislature has power to abridge or destroy them, unless the owner shall himself commit some act that amounts to a forfeiture.”

-- Sir William Blackstone, Commentaries on the Laws of England 1765

These natural rights, being so obviously numerous and extensive, were unable to be listed in the Bill of Rights and therefore, the 9th Amendment (Article) was established to cover the rest.

Bill of Rights - Article IX

“The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.”

It is time that we take a personal accounting of all of those inalienable, natural rights and remember they are ours from birth and NO Act of Human Legislature can take them away or infringe upon them!!! Can you name them? Can you teach your children what they are?

“The balance of power is the scale of peace. The same balance would be preserved were all the world not destitute of arms, for all would be alike; but since some will not, others dare not lay them aside. Horrible mischief would ensue were one half the world deprived of the use of them... the weak will become a prey to the strong.”
Thomas Paine

“Guard with jealous attention the public liberty. Suspect every one who approaches that jewel. Unfortunately, nothing will preserve it but downright force. Whenever you give up that force, you are ruined.”
Patrick Henry

Appreciation from the Patriot Perspective

This month, we offer our sincere appreciation to our friend and compatriot Brian at Dream Link for offering us a new location to house the archives of the Patriot Perspective!

The location of our new archive page is <http://www.realtruth.biz/patriotperspective>

We ask that all Patriot Perspective subscribers and readers support Dream Link. They offer some great specials for dial-up and web hosting with thousands of local access numbers!!!

Dream Link Online Information Service

24 Hour Sales (207) 786 - 3207 (Ask for Brian!)
New Customer Sign-Up Special - \$10 Per Month - Unlimited Access
WEB HOSTING PROMO - 1 Page With Hosting - \$100 for the Year!

Want to Advertise in the Patriot Perspective???

If you would like to place a [full color](#) advertisement in the Patriot Perspective, we now provide that ability at a most reasonable cost.

The Patriot Perspective will gladly promote patriot-minded businesses! If you have products, services, informational booklets, audios or videos or informative seminars and you would like to reach a very specific, truly Patriotic audience, you can have them appear in our newsletter!

We currently have subscribers in 9 states across the country from Maine to California, from Montana to Texas and we are growing steadily!

For more information and pricing contact us at:

Patriot Perspective
c/o TexasSpitfire©
1431 Wirt Rd, #123
Houston, Texas 77055

OR

email us at: a_patriot_perspective@fastmail.us

Special Note to Subscribers from the Patriot Perspective


Starting this month, the Patriot Perspective will be distributed twice each month!

Starting with our next issue, we will be asking that NEW subscribers support this publication by a donation of \$25 per year for 24 issues. Our current subscribers will not be required to donate and will continue to receive issues as they are released. Support for this publication will enable us to continue our efforts. You can send your donation of cash (Federal Reserve Notes wrapped in aluminum foil) or by blank postal money order sent to:

Texas Spitfire
c/o 1431 Wirt Rd, #123
Houston, Republic of Texas [77055]
a_patriot_perspective@fastmail.us

Please include your updated contact information and email with your donation. Your information will always be held in the strictest confidence and will not be disclosed to any organization or entity.

Our past issue archives will always be maintained free of charge for download at:
<http://www.realtruth.biz/patriotperspective>



Our Mission

The Patriot Perspective© was created to offer information and commentary for those Americans who have recognized that America is not following the original intent of our founders. The “Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness”, guaranteed to us by the Constitution, were “inalienable” rights given by God and existed prior to the Constitution. The definition of “inalienable rights” used in our Declaration of Independence means:

*"Rights which can **never** be abridged because they are so fundamental"
- Black's Law Dictionary 6th Edition*

These rights were **NOT** given us by the Constitution, they are ours at birth. They are **NOT** *privileges* that can be granted, nor can they be taken away or abridged.

All rights reserved. Subscribers understand and agree to our Disclaimer:

All representations of the law and quoted sources are accurate and complete to the best of our ability. We make no claims as to how the courts may adhere to the “Rule of Law” or the Constitution of the United States.